Changes to Mental Health Legislation
- A Brief Guide for Mental Health Service Users and their Families

THE REASON FOR THIS GUIDE

This Guide, for service users and their families, outlines how mental health legislation is changed by the Mental Health Act 2007, which came into force in November 2008.

The 1983 Mental Health Act is, and remains, the important piece of legislation setting out the legal framework for compulsory powers in England and Wales. The 2007 Act just amends the 1983 Act, it does not replace it. Unfortunately this means that mental health law has become more complicated and so this Guide briefly explains both where the law has changed, and also where it remains the same.

The 1983 Act was previously amended in 1995 by the Mental Health (Patients in the Community) Act which created “supervised discharge” or “after-care under supervision”, and this has been important for people receiving “Section 25 aftercare”. This 1995 Act is replaced by the 2007 Act, and “Section 25 aftercare” is to end. It is replaced by Supervised Community Treatment which we explain in more detail later.

Along with the 1983 Act there was a Code of Practice which gave guidance on how the Act should be applied in both England and Wales. This Code has been rewritten to reflect the changes in the 2007 Act and, very importantly, there is now a separate version specifically for Wales. The Welsh Mental Health Act Code of Practice was published in September 2008. It is a long document (around 240 pages) and it will be important in directing how mental health legislation is applied in Wales. The Welsh Assembly Government is also producing a series of booklets under the general title “Peace of Mind” which offer useful summaries of the Code for service users, families and carers. Information about where to find the Code, and the Peace of Mind booklets, is given at the end of this Guide.

The Code of Practice is not law which must be automatically followed, but it is an important document as it offers “statutory guidance”, and professionals who do not follow this guidance can be challenged in court.

So to summarise, from November 2008, the important mental health statutory documents for Wales will be:

- the Mental Health Act 1983, as amended by the Mental Health Act 2007
- the Welsh Mental Health Act Code of Practice.
This Guide will now explain what, in practice, will remain the same and what will change. We will look first at the Mental Health Acts and then at the Code of Practice.

THE MENTAL HEALTH ACTS 1983 and 2007 - What has NOT changed
The Sections for Hospital Admission, Guardianship and Aftercare

Starting with what has not changed, this includes the Sections which service users and families will be most familiar with. These are:

- **Section 2** used to admit and detain a person in hospital for **assessment** for up to 28 days,
- **Section 3** used to admit and detain a person in hospital for **treatment** for up to 6 months,
- **Section 4** used in an **emergency** to admit and detain a person in hospital for up to 3 days,
- **Section 5** again used in an **emergency** to detain a person already in hospital for up to 3 days by a doctor (S5.2) or 6 hours by a nurse (S5.4),
- **Section 7** used to receive a person into **Guardianship**,
- **Sections in Part 3** of the Act, including **Sections 37** and **41** used for people who come before a Court,
- **Section 117** placing a duty on authorities to provide **aftercare** to a person who has been detained for treatment,
- **Sections 135/136** about taking a person to a **place of safety** for assessment.

These Sections of the 1983 Act are unchanged by the 2007 Act, and remain in force. What should change is how they are applied in practice and this is what we will now look at.

THE MENTAL HEALTH ACTS 1983 and 2007- WHAT DOES CHANGE

We will now look at seven areas where the 2007 Act has brought in changes to the 1983 Act. These are:

- how **mental disorder** is defined
- the **professionals** who have specific roles within the Act
- additional rights for patients to displace their **Nearest Relative**
- how **treatment** is defined, and when it can be given
- the introduction of **Supervised Community Treatment** (SCT) and **Community Treatment Orders** (CTOs)
- a new right for patients to have an **advocate**
- some changes about how **Mental Health Review Tribunals** operate.

We will look at each of these areas in turn and consider them in more detail.

1 Definition of Mental Disorder
In the 1983 Act there are references to four types of mental disorder – mental illness, mental impairment, psychopathic disorder and severe mental impairment. References to these types or categories of mental disorder are deleted by the 2007 Act and replaced by a simple definition of mental disorder as “any disorder or disability of mind”.

This change will have limited significance for service users with a mental illness. What it may mean is that people who are considered to suffer from a “personality disorder” will come within the scope of the Act. The position for people who are dependent on drugs and alcohol remains clear. They are not seen as having a “mental disorder”, unless they also suffer from a recognised mental illness.

2 Professional Roles

A wider range of professionals can now undertake important roles under the Act. The changes are that:

- ASWs (Approved Social Workers) are replaced by AMHPs (Approved Mental Health Professionals), and
- RMOs (Responsible Medical Officers) are replaced by RCs (Responsible Clinicians).

As well as social workers, nurses, occupational therapists (OTs) and psychologists (but not doctors) can now be AMHPs, as long as they have the necessary skills and experience. AMHPs are approved and appointed by Local Authorities.

Similarly, as well as doctors, nurses, OTs, psychologists and social workers can now be RCs, again as long as they have the necessary skills and experience. Professionals have first to be approved and appointed by the NHS as Approved Clinicians (ACs) before they can act as RCs. However, some important functions, particularly related to supervision of medical treatments, are still restricted to doctors, and where a person’s RC is not a doctor, another AC who is a doctor will have to undertake these restricted functions.

There is the potential that the different professionals assessing people, for example when considering a Section 2 or Section 3 hospital admission, may be well known to each other and, therefore, the independence of their assessments may be compromised. This is a growing issue as increasingly professionals work together in joint Community Mental Health Teams (CMHTs). New regulations have therefore been drafted to address such potential conflicts of interest. These regulations (known as the Mental Health (Conflicts of Interest) (Wales) Regulations 2008) are bound to be complex and detailed as they cover a wide range of situations. However, it is important that service users know they exist and that they can challenge professionals who do not make genuinely independent assessments.
3 The Patient’s Nearest Relative

Under the 1983 Act, the Patient’s Nearest Relative has important rights and roles, including those to:
- apply for admission to hospital
- block an admission for treatment (mainly under Section 3)
- discharge the patient after a compulsory admission
- be given information about the patient.

These are not changed by the 2007 Act, but what does change is who can act as the Nearest Relative. In future Civil Partners will have equal status to husbands and wives. This is a limited change, and a long term partner or close friend of a person still does not automatically become their Nearest Relative.

However, a more significant change is that the 2007 Act gives a new safeguard to a patient to apply to the Court for a new Nearest Relative to be appointed if their existing Nearest Relative is behaving unreasonably or not in their best interests, for whatever reason. The patient can be helped to do this, or a relative or partner (or an AMHP) can make the application for the patient.

4 Treatment

The 2007 Act introduces some changes about compulsory treatment which will clearly be important for service users affected. We summarise them under appropriate headings:

**Detention in Hospital** Under the 1983 Act a major test for someone to be detained in hospital for treatment was that the treatment would be “likely to alleviate or prevent deterioration” in their mental health. This test has now changed and in future a person with a mental disorder can only be admitted to hospital under Section 3 if an appropriate medical treatment is available for that person in that hospital. This is known as the “appropriate medical treatment test”.

A person is admitted to hospital under Section 3 for an initial period of 6 months, but this order can then be renewed for a second period of 6 months and after that for any number of further periods of one year. This is not changed by the 2007 Act, as long as appropriate medical treatment continues to be available for the person. However, the 2007 Act introduces a new safeguard that, if their Responsible Clinician decides to renew a Section 3 order, the RC must first consult with at least one other professional who is also involved in that person’s treatment. The order to renew a person’s detention can then only be made if this other professional states in writing that all the conditions are met.

**Definition** The 2007 Act also clarifies what medical treatment is. It states it can mean, in addition to medication and other recognised medical treatments, “nursing, psychological intervention and specialist
mental health habilitation, rehabilitation and care”. This is a wide definition and means, for example, that a person can be detained in hospital even if traditional mental health treatments like medication are not appropriate. However, as already stated, an appropriate medical treatment must be readily available for that person if he or she is being detained.

Consent to Treatment The 2007 Act has amended some aspects of the 1983 Act in relation to consent to treatment, especially around ECT which we consider next. For more usual forms of treatment, especially medication, there are no major changes. Wherever possible, Clinicians should seek the consent of the patient before giving treatment, as required by the Human Rights Act, but in some circumstances “appropriate” treatment can be given to patients on a treatment order without their consent. One safeguard is that if, after three months, a Clinician wants to continue treatment that a patient has not consented to, an independent doctor (known as a Second Opinion Appointed Doctor or SOAD) must be involved to consider the appropriateness of the treatment. The SOAD must consult with a number of people, including the patient, and agree that the treatment is appropriate before it can be continued.

ECT The 2007 Act has an important new Section setting out when a person may be given electro-convulsive therapy (ECT). For adults (people over 18) there are two usual situations when ECT can be given:
- First, where the detained patient consents. However, even then a doctor (usually an Approved Clinician) must state in writing that the patient understands the treatment and consents to it. In addition the patient can still withdraw their consent at any point.
- Second, if the patient is incapable of giving consent, an independent doctor (a SOAD) must give their approval before ECT can then be given, and this doctor can only give their approval after going through a number of steps. First, the doctor must consult with two other people, one of whom must be a nurse and the other a professional involved in the person’s care. Then the doctor must state in writing that the patient is incapable of giving consent and also that ECT is an appropriate treatment. Finally the doctor can only do this if the person has not made an “advance decision” stating that he or she refuses ECT.

There is an additional safeguard for teenagers (whether detained or informal) who are under 18. When a teenager consents to ECT, it still cannot be given until an independent doctor (a SOAD) has stated in writing that the teenager consents and the treatment is appropriate.

ECT should not be given, therefore, if a person understands the treatment and refuses consent. The one exception is that it can be given without a person’s consent in an emergency, but then only if ECT is immediately necessary to save a person’s life or to prevent a
serious deterioration of their condition. This should be a highly unusual circumstance.

5 Supervised Community Treatment (SCT) and Community Treatment Orders (CTOs)

One of the most important changes in the new Act is the introduction of Supervised Community Treatment (SCT), which allows people to be subject to compulsory powers, known as Community Treatment Orders or CTOs, when living at home. People can be considered for SCT if they:
- have been detained in hospital for treatment, usually under Section 3 and also Section 37,
- continue to need treatment but no longer need to be in hospital, and
- are believed to be at risk of not complying with their treatment.

When considering a CTO a Responsible Clinician (RC) must first discuss the conditions to be imposed on the person with an AMHP, and then get the agreement of the AMHP, before an order can be made. Once made, a CTO lasts initially for 6 months and allows the RC to recall the person to hospital if there are significant concerns about that person’s health, even if they are complying with the conditions set in the CTO.

This is an important new compulsory power which will affect the discharge from hospital of many people with a severe mental illness who have been detained under, in particular, Section 3. As referred to at the beginning of this Guide, SCT replaces Section 25 “after-care under supervision”, and in future Section 17 leave should only be used by a RC to agree to short term leave from hospital.

6 Advocacy

A further important change in the 2007 Act gives a number of people the right to be supported by an advocate, known as an Independent Mental Health Advocate (or IMHA). This service is available to most “detained patients”, including those on Section 2 and Section 3, and those who are subject to SCT or Guardianship. It is also available to a small number of “voluntary patients” where very specialist treatments are being discussed for them. However, people subject to emergency, short term sections (for example Sections 4, 5, 135 and 136) are not eligible for the IMHA service.

People who are eligible for, and want, this service have a right to be put in touch with an IMHA. The IMHA should then meet with them, help them understand what is happening to them, have access to their records and help them challenge any decisions they are unhappy with. The NHS has a duty to ensure that IMHAs are available across Wales.

7 Mental Health Review Tribunals (MHRTs)
In future there will be separate Tribunals for England and Wales, although it is unclear what practical difference this will make. More importantly people on SCT, as well as people detained in hospital, will have a right to apply to a MHRT for their order to be lifted, and people on longer term orders will be referred to a tribunal at an earlier stage.

THE CODE OF PRACTICE FOR WALES

We have already said that, whilst key sections of the Act have not changed, how they are applied in practice may change. This is where the new Welsh Code of Practice will be important.

The Code sets out in great detail how professionals and organisations should undertake the roles and responsibilities given to them under mental health legislation. It also sets out in detail the rights of service users (always referred to as “patients”), their relatives and carers. The Code has 34 chapters and it would not be practical to refer to them all in this Guide. Instead we highlight those parts of the Code that are most important for service users and their families in terms of exercising rights included in the Act. These include:

- the Guiding Principles
- Care and Treatment Planning
- Advance Statements of wishes and feelings
- Visiting people in hospital
- Information for patients and their Relatives
- the Nearest Relative
- Carers
- Independent Mental Health Advocacy
- the Mental Health Review Tribunal.

1 Guiding Principles (Chapter 1)

The opening chapter of the Code sets out 9 Guiding Principles which should be considered by professionals when taking actions under the Act. In summary these include an emphasis on the person’s well-being and safety, on their rights and dignity, and on issues of equality and non-discrimination. They also include an emphasis on communicating effectively with, listening to and involving the person and their family in any decisions. They include too an emphasis on helping the person retain as much independence as possible and promoting their recovery.

These principles will differ in importance depending on the specific situation, but professionals who ignore them can, and should, be challenged.

2 Care and Treatment Planning (Chapter 14)
Hafal campaigned specifically for this chapter to be included in the Code because we believe that, when a person is admitted to hospital (or guardianship) under the Act, their whole range of needs should be properly addressed.

The chapter sets out in detail how this should be done. It states that, when a person’s needs are being assessed, the aim or emphasis should be on re-establishing their independence and promoting their recovery. This assessment should lead to a “holistic” care plan, agreed with the person, to achieve this aim. Guidance is given about:

- who should be involved in the care planning process
- how a care plan should be developed, agreed and reviewed
- what should be included within it.

People who are aware of Hafal’s own Recovery Programme will see the similarity between our Recovery Plan and the areas to be covered in this Care Plan (with the addition of the last area). There are 9 in total and they cover:

- medical treatment
- psychological and other treatments
- physical well-being
- accommodation and housing
- work and occupation
- training and education
- finance and money
- social, cultural and spiritual interests
- parenting and caring responsibilities.

The Code also makes it clear that, whilst “after-care planning” is important, care planning should begin at an early stage and should also cover the care and treatment person receives when in hospital. In short, therefore, the Code says that every detained patient should have a relevant and active care plan which considers their needs generally, and does not just focus on their immediate treatment. We hope this will prove to be a major step forward.

3 Advance Statements of Wishes and Feelings (Chapter 15)

This is another additional chapter in the new Code. It covers situations in which a person has expressed their wishes about the treatment they want (or do not want) to receive at a point in the future when they no longer have mental capacity. When a person has made such “advance decisions”, professional have a duty to take account of it.

4 Visiting people in hospital (Chapter 20)

This chapter spells out the right of people in hospital to be visited by family and friends (and advocates) and the responsibilities of hospital
authorities to ensure that reasonable visiting arrangements are in place.

5 **Information for Patients and Relatives (Chapter 22)**

Giving appropriate and timely information to patients and their relatives is an important theme throughout the Code and the responsibility of professionals to do so in specific circumstances is explained in most chapters. Chapter 22 of the Code is devoted to the information that must be given to detained patients and their Nearest Relative and summarises when and how this information should be given.

6 **The Nearest Relative (Chapter 23)**

As stated above the Nearest Relative (as defined by the Act) of a person has important rights and responsibilities, and these are largely unchanged by the 2007 Act. However, the 2007 Act does strengthen the grounds for the person, or others acting in the person’s interests, to apply to a court to “displace” the existing Nearest Relative and appoint a new one. For example a person can make an application if their existing Nearest Relative is “not a suitable person to act as such”.

The Code recognises that the process of applying to a court may be daunting for a person who is unhappy with how their existing Nearest Relative is acting. It, therefore, sets out the help and support a person should receive from the professionals working with them.

7 **Carers (Chapter 24)**

This is a short chapter in the Code but it does emphasise that carers should be properly involved in decision making about the person they are caring for. It states that carers should be kept well informed and it also suggests that carers are themselves important sources of information. It also states that carers may themselves need advice and support in continuing their caring role.

8 **Independent Mental Health Advocacy (Chapter 25)**

We have explained above who is eligible, or qualifies, for an Independent Mental Health Advocate, known as an IMHA. The Code sets out in detail how this new advocacy service should help and support people who do qualify. It makes it clear that such people should be told about this service as soon as possible, and then be given help to access an IMHA where necessary. The professional whose duty it is to give this information (called the “responsible person” in the Code) will depend on the circumstances but, whoever it is, the “responsible person” has to take all reasonable steps to ensure a person can make use of their right to have an advocate.
If a person decides they do want the support of an IMHA, hospital and any other appropriate staff have a duty to make sure the person can contact and speak to the advocate in confidence. They should also ensure the advocate is able to go about their advocacy role in a reasonable way.

9 Mental Health Review Tribunal for Wales (Chapter 26)

There is now a Mental Health Review Tribunal specifically for Wales (MHRTfW) which can be contacted at:

4th Floor, Crown Buildings
Cathays Park
CARDIFF
CF10 3NQ.

The MHRTfW is an independent judicial body which has an important function to review the cases of people detained under the Act. This includes people on Community Treatment Orders as well as people detained in hospital.

The process for referring cases to the MHRTfW is complex and would be difficult to summarise here. However, the Code sets out in detail the duties of hospital and social services authorities to ensure people detained under the Act, and their Nearest Relative, know about their rights to be referred to the MHRTfW. The MHRTfW will also respond to any detained person, or their representative, who seeks further information about their rights.

A MENTAL HEALTH “MEASURE” FOR WALES?

Hafal is making representation to the Welsh Assembly Government to develop a Mental Health Measure giving people with severe mental illness new legal rights. A “Measure” is the formal name for legislation passed by the Assembly specifically for Wales. The proposed Mental Health Measure could offer a balance to compulsory powers by giving people the right to appropriate assessment, treatment and advocacy before they become very ill. We believe that for some people this may mean compulsion becomes unnecessary. Again we will update this Guide with information about the progress of such a Measure.

FURTHER INFORMATION

This Guide aims to offer only a brief overview of the 1983 Act as amended by the 2007 Act. It cannot, and is not intended to be, a comprehensive commentary on the legislation. If, therefore, you need more detailed information, it is important you seek further advice.
The **Code of Practice** and a range of other information, including the **Peace of Mind** booklets, are available on a helpful website at:


For further information about Hafal visit: [www.hafal.org](http://www.hafal.org)

For further information about mental health in Wales visit: [www.mentalhealthwales.net](http://www.mentalhealthwales.net)